IBFAN welcomes the opportunity to comment on the draft Programme and will focus on WHO’s constitutional mandate and duty to protect the organisation’s independence, integrity and trustworthiness.

IBFAN fears that the draft plan contains suggestions that will undermine WHO’s capacity to fulfill its mandate and core functions. We are pleased that suggestions are still welcome, and hope this EB will allow time for a deeper analysis. This cannot only be about adding suggestions.

We challenge the statement that the SDGs are “consistent with WHO’s Constitution.” The Constitutional principle that the “health of all peoples is dependent on the fullest cooperation of individuals and states.” does not justify turning WHO into an actor, a “humble catalyst” in an “ecosystem of partnerships.” Or that WHO must show its “competitive advantage” to attract funding and be assessed along measurable impact targets.

FENSA should not be used to enable partnerships – it should be used to manage them and safeguard WHO.

The draft proposes a fundamental change to WHO’s health governance architecture, that will threaten WHO’s capacity to fulfill its constitutional core functions, including:

- To act as the directing and coordinating authority in international health work
- To propose conventions, agreements and regulations

As a long-standing corporate accountability network, IBFAN is worried that WHO’s role in regulation and norm-setting is being reframed and its mandate to build the international Rule of Law undermined in the keenness to become more ‘operational’. If WHO becomes more ‘operational’ how will the evaluation of impact be protected from undue commercial influence?

Being ‘operational’ opens the door to earmarked funding from the rich and powerful and risks distorting public health planning from prevention to treatment, from sustainable foods to dependence on highly processed foods. Has WHO the capacity to ‘quality assure’ these activities - especially if WHO is in partnership with an interested party?

We fear for the implementation of the International Code of Marketing of Breast-Milk Substitutes and other urgently needed Conventions to tackle marketing and other corporate strategies that harm health. The Plan may leave even more people behind – the very people who will pick up the cost of bad decisions about their health.

To enable WHO to fulfill its constitutional mandate, full funding of WHO must be the agenda of this Special EB. WHO needs to be freed from its dependency on “voluntary” funders imposed by the long-standing freeze on its assessed contributions.
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A more detailed statement will be available on this link:
http://www.babymilkaction.org/archives/15473